Solvent structural relaxation dynamics in dipolar solvation studied by resonant pump polarizability response spectroscopy
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Resonant pump polarizability response spectroscopy (RP-PORS) was used to study the isotropic and anisotropic solvent structural relaxation in solvation. RP-PORS is the optical heterodyne detected transient grating (OHD-TG) spectroscopy with an additional resonant pump pulse. A resonant pump excites the solute–solvent system and the subsequent relaxation of the solute–solvent system is monitored by the OHD-TG spectroscopy. This experimental method allows measuring the dispersive and absorptive parts of the signal as well as fully controlling the beam polarizations of incident pulses and signal. The experimental details of RP-PORS were described. By performing RP-PORS with Coumarin 153(C153) in CH3CN and CHCl3, we have successfully measured the isotropic and anisotropic solvation polarizability spectra following electronic excitation of C153. The isotropic solvation polarizability responses result from the isotropic solvent structural relaxation of the solvent around the solute whereas the anisotropic solvation polarizability responses come from the anisotropic translational relaxation and orientational relaxation. The solvation polarizability responses were found to be solvent-specific. The intramolecular vibrations of CHCl3 were also found to be coupled to the electronic excitation of C153.

1. Introduction

Understanding chemical and physical processes occurring in solutions requires detailed knowledge about the solvent dynamics in such processes. Solvent interacts with chemical species during the processes in many different ways by activating reactants, stabilizing activated complexes or any intermediates, and releasing excess energy from products, and thus determine the outcome of the processes.1 However, an accurate measurement of solvent dynamics in such processes is not straightforward. Instead, the simpler process of solvation has been widely studied for fundamental understanding of the solvent dynamics.2

As schematically shown in Fig. 1, solvation is a relaxation of solute–solvent system after a sudden change in electronic structure of the solute following the electronic excitation of the solute as the surrounding solvent undergoes the time-dependent structural reorganization to minimize the free energy of the system.3-6 The solvent reorganization occurs on subpicosecond and picosecond timescales. Solvation dynamics have been extensively studied by time-resolved fluorescence Stokes shift (TRFSS)7-9 and photon echo peak shift (PEPS)5,10-13 measurements. In TRFSS, the relaxation of the solute–solvent
system is monitored by measuring the solute’s emission spectra of which the time-dependent shift gives information on solvent relaxation. On the other hand, in PEPS, the solvent fluctuations have a direct influence on the solute’s electronic energy gap correlation function. In both methods, the solute’s spectroscopic properties are used to probe the solvent relaxation or fluctuation. Under the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, both methods give the same information, which is the timescale of the solvation. The major finding from TRFSS and PEPS is that the solvation is bimodal, exhibiting inertial and diffusive motions of the solvent.17–19 Inertial motion plays an important role at very early times and is represented by a Gaussian function while the diffusive motion is responsible for solvation at longer times and is well described by exponential functions. The relative contribution of the inertial and diffusive motions is solvent-dependent. In highly polar solvents, the inertial motion is dominant while the diffusive motion is more important in weakly polar and nondipolar solvents.14 Computer simulations have also been performed with simple solute–solvent systems for detailed molecular-level understanding of solvation in terms of the nature of interactions between the solute and solvent as well as the changes in solute charge, size, and polarizability.15,16

The current level of understanding of the solvation is achieved by the experimental results from TRFSS and PEPS as well as the results of computer simulations. However, despite these advances, our insight into the solvent responses in solvation is still incomplete. One major reason for this is that the solute is used as a probe molecule such that what is measured is a change in the solute’s property associated with the solvent relaxation or fluctuation. Therefore, the quantities measured in TRFSS and PEPS give indirect information on what the solvent is actually doing. It also stems partially from the difficulty of direct measurements of the solvent responses in solvation. Recently, optical-pump terahertz-probe spectroscopy was employed to measure the low-frequency solvent modes in solvation.17–19 A terahertz pulse has spectral bandwidth of 10–100 cm⁻¹ which covers much of the spectral range of the solvent intermolecular motions. However, the terahertz pulses are not short enough to resolve the solvent dynamics at early times. More recently, Blank and coworkers showed an experimental method in which the third-order Raman spectroscopy was combined with a resonant pump, which was termed “RAPTORS”.20,21 In their method, a time-dependent solvent scattering signal was used as a local oscillator. Unfortunately, this complicated the interpretation of the experimental results because many degenerate signals were able to be measured in the same phase matching direction as well as the dispersive and absorptive parts of the signal were not able to be measured separately.

As a first effort of direct measurements of the solute response in solvation, we had developed a two-color optical Kerr effect (OKE) spectroscopy where only the anisotropic solvent response around the solute was able to be measured.22 As an extension of the two-color OKE spectroscopy, we have developed an experimental method, termed “resonant pump polarizability response spectroscopy (RP-PORS)” with a time-independent local oscillator as opposed to RAPTORS.23–25 This method utilizes the optical heterodyne detected transient grating (OHD-TG) spectroscopy in which the phase of the local oscillator is fully controlled with respect to the signal and therefore it is possible to selectively measure the dispersive and absorptive parts of the third-order signal.26–29 In addition, the full control of the beam polarizations of incident pulses and signal in the OHD-TG geometry is feasible so that both isotropic and anisotropic solvent responses can be measured as opposed to the two-color OKE spectroscopy. Recently, the detailed theoretical description and simulation for the RP-PORS were presented.24 The RP-PORS was theoretically considered as a fifth-order spectroscopy where the resonant pulse created the ground (hole) and excited state (particle) wavepackets that evolved until the polarizability spectrum was probed by three incident nonresonant pulses and a fourth local oscillator pulse. The model simulation showed that the PORS signal generation could result from (1) the structural relaxation induced resonance and (2) the dephasing induced resonance.24 The lineshapes obtained from both the model simulation based on two mechanisms and the RP-PORS experiments had suggested that the structural relaxation induced resonance was more important than the dephasing induced resonance.24

Mathies and coworkers developed femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy (FSRS) that could, in principle, measure the same dynamics as the RAPTORS and RP-PORS when the actinic pump pulse and the Raman probe pulses were resonant and nonresonant with the electronic transition of the solute, respectively.30–34 However, the FSRS has been applied to study the high frequency vibrational resonances (> 300 cm⁻¹).

In the present work, RP-PORS was performed with Coumarin 153 (C153) in CH3CN and CHCl3 to measure the solvation polarizability spectra. In the RP-PORS setup, a resonant pump is added to the optical heterodyne detected transient grating (OHD-TG) spectrometer. As shown in Fig. 1, a resonant pump, which is resonant with C153 and is nonresonant with the solvents, electronically excites the C153–solvent system which is in an initial equilibrium state (S₀). This creates a nonequilibrium state (S₁) of the C153–solvent system which will relax to a new equilibrium state (S₂) as a result of the solvent reorganization around C153. The relaxation of the nonequilibrium C153–solvent system is monitored by selectively measuring the dispersive part (i.e. index of refraction of the system; polarizability response) of the OHD-TG signal which is termed “polarizability response spectroscopy (PORS)”.24

2. Experimental

A home-built cavity-dumped Ti:Sapphire oscillator is used to generate 20 nJ and ~20 fs pulses centered at 800 nm.35 The 800 nm pulses are amplified in a home built cavity-dumped Ti:Sapphire amplifier with chirped mirrors producing pulses of 1.5 μJ at repetition rates ranging from 10 to 250 kHz.36,37 The 400 nm second harmonic pulse, which is used as a resonant pump in RP-PORS, is generated with a 200 μm thick BBO crystal. Both 800 and 400 nm pulses are properly precompensated for material dispersion with two different pairs of BK7 prisms giving 35 fs pulse duration of 800 nm and 70 fs pulse duration of 400 nm at the sample position, respectively.
The overall RP-PORS setup is shown in Fig. 2. Basically, it is the OHD-TG setup with a resonant pump added. The OHD-TG setup is built with diffractive optical element (DOE) and its basic design and performance have been previously shown.\textsuperscript{26,38–40} The design of our OHD-TG setup is based on the Newtonian telescope. By using parabolic mirrors for collimating and focusing in our OHD-TG setup, any beam distortion (spherical aberration, astigmatism, and chromatic aberration) can be minimized. The 800 nm beam is split into two beams with a 3 : 1 intensity ratio. Their relative time delay is controlled before the DOE. The weak beam passes through a variable time delay line while the intense beam has a fixed path. As shown in Fig. 2, two beams, which are vertically-polarized (P1 and P2), are focused onto the DOE with an achromat lens (L1, f.l. = 15 cm). The DOE is specially designed and manufactured such that the total diffraction efficiency for the first-order ($\pm 1$) beams is more than 80% at 800 nm (HoloEye Photonics AG, Germany). The first-order ($\pm 1$) diffraction beams, whose angle is 10°, are collimated and focused with parabolic mirrors (CM1, f.l. = 20 cm and CM2, f.l. = 15 cm, respectively) in a box-car geometry and recollimated with an achromat lens (L2, f.l. = 10 cm). A phase-matched beam geometry after the sample is shown in the upper right corner of Fig. 2. A mask (M1) is used to block higher-order diffraction beams from the DOE and another mask (M2) after the sample is used to block all incident beams except the signal and local oscillator.

In the TG geometry, two pump pulses, $E_1(k_1)$ and $E_2(k_2)$, are temporally and spatially overlapped in the sample creating an interference pattern. Interactions of the two pump pulses with the sample lead to a spatially modulated complex refractive index of the sample (transient grating) in the crossing region.\textsuperscript{27,41–46} The time-delayed probe pulse, $E_3(k_3)$ ($+1$ diffraction order), is diffracted off the grating at the Bragg angle and is detected as a signal, $E_{\text{sig}}(k_{\text{sig}} = -k_1 + k_2 + k_3)$, to a new phase-matched direction. In the DOE-based OHD-TG setup, the signal is automatically collinear and coherent with the local oscillator, $E_{\text{LO}}(k_{\text{LO}})$ ($-1$ diffraction order, LO), providing a convenient way to implement the optical heterodyne detection. Identical achromat half wave-plates ($\lambda/2$) are inserted in the probe and LO beams after the collimating parabolic mirror (CM1). A 150 μm thick microscope cover slip (CS) is inserted between CM1 and the half waveplate in the probe and LO beams. One face of the CS placed in the LO beam path is coated with gold particles such that it gives 5% transmission at 800 nm. The CS in the LO is mounted on a rotational stage whose fine adjustment controls the relative phase of the LO with respect to the signal. The rotation of the CS results in the change in the relative optical pathlength of the LO leading to the phase shift. A π-phase change is made by rotating the CS by $\sim 3^\circ$.

Neat solvent is used to calibrate the relative phase of the OHD-TG signal with respect to the LO. The phase scan is made in neat solvent at $t = 0$ ps by rotating the CS in the LO beam. The absorptive part of the OHD-TG signal from the neat solvent is negligible because the neat solvent is non-resonant with 800 nm. The OHD-TG signal is dependent on the relative phase of the LO with respect to the signal. The peaks and valleys in the phase scan determine the π/2 conditions within the pulse envelope. The relative phase of the signal is calibrated with respect to the LO such that the maximum peak in the phase scan within the pulse envelope is set to be π/2 phase. Fig. 3(A) shows the calibrated relative phase of the OHD-TG signal with respect to the LO.
The relative phase is checked before and after each experiment to ensure no significant phase drift during data acquisition. The phase drift is measured to be less than $5^\circ$ over a few days. Fig. 3(B) shows the OHD-TG signals that are measured with neat CH$_3$CN at four different LO phases. The dispersive parts ($\phi = 90^\circ$ and $270^\circ$) of the signal are opposite in sign and similar in amplitude while the absorptive parts ($\phi = 0$ and $180^\circ$) are negligible. For the remainder of the present paper, the polarizability response spectroscopy (PORS) represents selective measurements of the dispersive part of the signal in the OHD-TG method.

For the electronic excitation, a resonant pump is added to the OHD-TG setup. The resonant beam is focused with an achromat lens (L3, f.l. = 30 cm). The resonant pump is temporally and spatially overlapped with the OHD-TG signal. The resonant pump–probe signals ($E_{RP}(k_{RP})$) of the signal are opposite in sign and similar to the emission of the signal, $E_{sig}(k_{sig}) = -k_1 + k_2 + k_3$, to a new phase matched direction. The emitted signal field is interferometrically mixed with the LO allowing the optical heterodyne detection. In our experimental geometry, the LO, $E_{LO}(k_{LO})$, is also overlapped with other incoming pulses ($E_{in}(k_{in}) = E_{RP}(k_{RP}), E_{1}(k_1), E_{2}(k_2),$ and $E_{3}(k_3)$) in the sample and the degenerate pump–probe signals ($E_{sig}(k'_{sig})$) in the same phase-matched direction ($k'_{sig} = -k_a + k_3$) are also measured together with the OHD-TG signal ($k_{sig} = k_{sig}$). However, the degenerate pump–probe signals are always in-phase with the LO while the OHD-TG signal is dependent upon the phase of the LO. Therefore, the dispersive and absorptive parts of the OHD-TG signal at a given $T$ can be obtained by a dual phase scan method:

$$S_{disp}(t;T) = S(t,\phi = \pi/2; T) - S(t,\phi = 3\pi/2; T)$$

$$\propto \text{Re}[P^{(3)}(t;T)]$$

(1)

$$S_{abs}(t;T) = S(t,\phi = 0; T) - S(t,\phi = \pi; T)$$

$$\propto \text{Im}[P^{(3)}(t;T)]$$

(2)

In practice, the RP-PORS signals are obtained by measuring the OHD-TG signals with two $\pi/2$ out-of-phase local oscillators and taking their difference. Fig. 4 describes the dual phase scan method. The RP-PORS signals are collected with the LO phase of $90^\circ$ and $270^\circ$. The RP-PORS signals are superimposed on top of the degenerate pump–probe signals. These degenerate pump–probe signals ($E_{RP}$ and $E_{LO}$) are a time-dependent background. However, they are independent of the phase of the LO and thus, can be removed by the dual phase scan method.

Sample C153 purchased from Acros was used as received. CH$_3$CN (acetonitrile) and CHCl$_3$ (chloroform) used in the experiments were HPLC-grade. 0.30 mM C153 solutions were prepared by directly dissolving C153 in each solvent. The C153 solution sample was circulated in a flow-through cell during the measurement to avoid photobleaching and thermal heating. The repetition rate of pulses from the laser system was 123 kHz.
so that the time interval between pulses in a train of pulses was 8 ps ensuring that C153, whose lifetime in the excited state was ∼5 ns, relaxed back to the ground state before the next pulse arrives. Two sets of identical detector and lock-in amplifier are used to measure both \( S_{zzzz}(t) \) and \( S_{yyzz}(t) \) at the same time by chopping the resonant pump at 2.51 kHz. For accurate measurements of the isotropic and anisotropic tensor elements (i.e. \( S_{iso}(t) \) and \( S_{aniso}(t) \)), the polarizations of the probe and LO were carefully adjusted to 45° with respect to those of the nonresonant pumps. \( S_{iso}(t) \) and \( S_{aniso}(t) \) of CCl₄ reconstructed from the measured \( S_{zzzz}(t) \) and \( S_{yyzz}(t) \) were in excellent agreement with the previously reported results.⁴⁷

A low-pass color filter (cutoff at 715 nm) was placed right before the detectors to block the scattering of the resonant pump (400 nm) from the sample cell.

In RP-PORS, the signals are collected by chopping the resonant pump (RP). In other words, the solute–solvent system is probed by the PORS with the resonant pump on and off, which allows a selectivity of the molecular responses that are induced only by the resonant pump,

\[
S(t; T) = S_{RP-On}(t; T) - S_{RP-Off}(t; T) \tag{3}
\]

where \( S_{RP-On}(t; T) \) and \( S_{RP-Off}(t; T) \) represent the molecular responses with the resonant pump on and off, respectively. The resonant pump is resonant with the solute (i.e. C153) and nonresonant with the solvent. Therefore, the RP-PORS measures only the molecular responses that are influenced by the electronic excitation of the solute. That is to say, the solvent molecular response in bulk is not measured in RP-PORS. It will be discussed in terms of molecular contributions in RP-PORS in more detail in the following section.

3. Results and data analysis

3.1 RP-PORS signal

The RP-PORS signal, \( S(t; T) \), can be collected by scanning \( t \) at a series of \( T \). \( T \) is a waiting time before the PORS measurement is performed as shown in Fig. 2. In this particular case, \( T \)-axis is denoted “the solvation axis”. As mentioned earlier, the RP-PORS signal results from the structural relaxation of the solvent molecules around the solute (the solute–solvent system) following the electronic excitation of the solute.⁴⁴

When \( T \) is shorter than \( T_{eq} \) (i.e. the complete solvation time, the time for completion of solvent relaxation), the structural relaxation of the solvent molecules around the excited solute is taking place while the \( t \) scan is being made. Accordingly, the RP-PORS signal includes nonequilibrium solvent relaxation dynamics. On the other hand, when \( T \) is larger than \( T_{eq} \), the solvent reorganization is finished and thus the solute–solvent system reaches a new equilibrium state as shown in Fig. 2.

The RP-PORS signal measured at any time larger than \( T_{eq} \) (denoted \( S(t; T_{eq}) \) for simplicity) includes the equilibrium structural change of the solvent molecule around the solute in the excited state (\( S_{1} \)) and ground state (\( S_{0} \)). This is referred to as “the solvation response” throughout this paper. The structural change arises mainly from the translational and orientational relaxations of the solvent molecules around the solute. The solvent structural relaxations can be separated into the isotropic and anisotropic responses based on their symmetry. The isotropic and anisotropic PORS signals are obtained by

\[
S_{iso}(t; T_{eq}) = \frac{S_{zzzz}(t; T_{eq}) + 2S_{yyzz}(t; T_{eq})}{3} \tag{4}
\]

\[
S_{aniso}(t; T_{eq}) = \frac{S_{zzzz}(t; T_{eq}) - S_{yyzz}(t; T_{eq})}{2} \tag{5}
\]

where \( S_{zzzz}(t; T_{eq}) \) and \( S_{yyzz}(t; T_{eq}) \) are experimentally measured at \( T_{eq} \).

3.2 The solvation axis (\( T \)-axis) scan

The \( T \)-axis scan in Fig. 5(A) is made with C153 in CH₃CN at \( t = 0 \) ps with all nonresonant pulses overlapped. In this case, the \( T \)-axis scan measures how the electronic polarizability response of the excited solute–solvent system changes as the time-dependent solvent reorganization takes place around the solute. It should be sensitive to the solvent structural relaxation around the solute. Therefore, the \( T \)-axis scan gives information on the timescale of the solvent reorganization. In practice, \( T \) scan with any fixed \( t \) time can also give the same timescale of the solvent reorganization even though the nature of the signals is different. In other words, the \( T \)-axis scan at \( t = 0 \) ps is the relaxation of the electronic response function while the \( T \) scan at \( t > 0 \) ps (more accurately, \( t \) should be greater than the pulse duration) is the relaxation...
of the nuclear response function. For example, $T$ scan at $t = 1.0$ ps measures how the nuclear polarizability response at $t = 1.0$ ps changes as the solvation proceeds. Fig. 5(A) shows the anisotropic PORS signal, $S(T; t = 0)$, as a function of $T$ at $t = 0$ ps. In Fig. 5(A), the instrumental response function appears at $T = 0$ ps. Subsequently, a fast rise is followed by the long time decay component. The initial fast rise results from the solvent reorganization and its timescale is the same with that measured from TRFSS while the long time decay components are related to dynamics of C153. From the result of the $T$-axis scan, the complete solvation time ($T_{eq}$) can be determined. As shown in Fig. 5(A), the solvent reorganization is very fast in CH$_3$CN and it can be reasonably assumed that solvation is completely finished at $T = 4$ ps. Fig. 5(B) displays the anisotropic PORS signal from C153 in CH$_3$CN as a function of $t$ at $T = T_{eq} = 4$ ps.

### 3.3 Solvation polarizability responses at $T_{eq}$

As mentioned earlier, the isotropic and anisotropic PORS signals give information on the isotropic and anisotropic structural relaxation of the solvent molecules around the solute, respectively. Fig. 6 displays the isotropic and anisotropic PORS signals measured with C153 in CH$_3$CN and CHCl$_3$. The isotropic PORS signals have a constant offset at long times while the anisotropic PORS signals decay to zero. The molecular dynamics observed in both PORS signals are separable in time ranging from subpicosecond to nanosecond. The constant offset in the isotropic PORS signal is related to the isotropic change in the solvent local density around C153. On the other hand, the longest time decay component in the anisotropic PORS signal results from the orientational relaxation of the excited state C153. The time constants of the longest time decay components in the anisotropic PORS signals in different solvents are in excellent agreement with the reorientation times of C153 in such solvents obtained previously from time-resolved fluorescence Stokes shift (TRFSS) measurements. The constant offset in the isotropic PORS signal and the solute reorientation in the anisotropic PORS signal are associated with the dynamics occurring on much longer timescales than the time-dependent solvent reorganization around C153. Therefore, the solvent reorganization at short times and the dynamics at long times can be temporally separable in both isotropic and anisotropic PORS signals.

### 3.4 Data analysis

The PORS signal at $T_{eq}$ can be written in terms of the convolution of the polarizability response function, $R_{ijkl}(t;T_{eq})$, and the instrumental response function, $G(t)$,

$$S_{ijkl}(t;T_{eq}) = \int dt G(t) R_{ijkl}(t - t;T_{eq})$$

$$R_{ijkl}(t;T_{eq}) = R_{ijkl}(t;T_{eq}) + R_{ijkl}^{\text{nuc}}(t;T_{eq})$$

(6)

where $R_{ijkl}(t;T_{eq})$ can be written as the sum of the electronic response function, $R_{ijkl}^{\text{el}}(t;T_{eq})$, and nuclear response function, $R_{ijkl}^{\text{nuc}}(t;T_{eq})$, within the Born–Oppenheimer approximation. The nuclear response function, $R_{ijkl}^{\text{nuc}}(t;T_{eq})$, includes all nuclear dynamics that are observed in the PORS. The nuclear response function can be further separated into two contributions at a given $T_{eq}$

$$R_{ijkl}^{\text{nuc}}(t;T_{eq}) = R_{ijkl}^{\text{solvent}}(t;T_{eq}) + R_{ijkl}^{\text{solute}}(t;T_{eq})$$

(7)

where $R_{ijkl}^{\text{solute}}(t;T_{eq})$ represents the long time decay component observed in the PORS signal and $R_{ijkl}^{\text{solvent}}(t;T_{eq})$ describes all nuclear dynamics occurring on shorter timescales than $R_{ijkl}^{\text{nuc}}(t;T_{eq})$. The long time decay component of the anisotropic PORS signal is well fit with a single exponential function while the long time decay component of the isotropic PORS signal is a constant in our experimental time.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Anisotropic</th>
<th>Isotropic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.8$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHCl$_3$</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.42$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reorientational time of C153 in each solvent.

$^b$ Constant offset in the isotropic PORS signal.
window as shown in Table 1. They can be removed from the
PORS signal,
\[ S_{ijkl}(t; T_{eq}) = S_{ijkl}(t; T_{eq})/C_0 \]
where \( H(t) \) is the Heaviside step function. Here, \( S_{ijkl}(t; T_{eq}) \) is referred to as the solvation polarizability response. Fig. 7 shows the procedure to remove the long time decay component from the anisotropic PORS signal measured with C153 in acetonitrile. As shown in Table 1, the orientational relaxation time (22 ps) of the excited C153 in CH3CN is larger than the solvent reorganization time (less than 1 ps) of CH3CN. In this analysis, it was assumed that the dynamics at long times would be Markovian and the solvent dynamics at short times would be separated from the long time decay component.22 The RP-PORS signal at early times is attributed to the solvent organization dynamics. As will be mentioned later, the RP-PORS signal at early times is solvent-dependent.

\[ S_{ijkl}(t; T_{eq}) = S_{ijkl}(t; T_{eq}) - \int dtG(t)H(t)R_{ijkl}^{\text{solute}}(t) \]

where \( R_{ijkl}^{\text{solute}}(t) \) is defined in the laboratory frame. \( R_{zzzz}^{\text{solute}}(t; T_{eq}) \) and \( R_{yyzz}^{\text{solute}}(t; T_{eq}) \) are the quantities defined in the molecular frame and denote the polarizability tensor elements that are parallel and perpendicular to the transition dipole of C153, respectively, as will be discussed later.

\[ \chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega) = \frac{\text{Im}[D_{ijkl}(\omega)]}{\text{Im}[G(\omega)]} = \frac{\text{Im}[D_{ijkl}(\omega)]}{\text{Im}[G(\omega)]} \]

where \( D_{ijkl}(\omega) = \text{Re}[D_{ijkl}(\omega)] + i \text{Im}[D_{ijkl}(\omega)] \) is denoted polarizability spectrum of solvation and captures all nuclear motions that are present in \( R_{ijkl}^{\text{solute}}(t; T_{eq}) \). The isotropic and anisotropic solvation polarizability spectra, \( \chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega) \), measured with CH3CN and CHCl3 are shown in Fig. 8.

4. Discussion
During the solvation, the solute–solvent system relaxes by translational and orientational motions of the solvent molecules. The motions of the solvent intermolecular relaxation can be separated into the isotropic and anisotropic motions based on their symmetry. In liquids composed of the symmetric top molecules, three types of the solvent (collective) intermolecular motions can be involved in solvation; isotropic translational, anisotropic translational, and orientational motions. The isotropic translational motion is observed in the isotropic PORS signal...
while the anisotropic translational and orientational motions are measured in the anisotropic PORS signal.

### 4.1 Isotropic solvation response

In RP-PORS, the isotropic solvation PORS signal, $S'_{\text{iso}}(t; T_{eq})$, provides information on the isotropic change in the solvent local density around the solute that is induced by the isotropic solvent translational motions (i.e. isotropic contraction or isotropic expansion of the solvent cage). The isotropic solvation PORS signal is defined with respect to the beam polarization of the resonant pump ($E_{RP}$) which is parallel to the solute transition dipole,

$$ S'_{\text{iso}}(t; T_{eq}) = \frac{S'_{zzzz}(t; T_{eq}) + 2S'_{yyzz}(t; T_{eq})}{3} \quad (10) $$

where $S'_{zzzz}(t; T_{eq})$ and $S'_{yyzz}(t; T_{eq})$ denote the polarization tensor elements that are parallel and perpendicular to the solute transition dipole, respectively, in the molecular frame. The isotropic solvation polarization spectra, $\gamma^w_{\text{iso}}(\omega)$, of CH$_3$CN and CHCl$_3$ are shown in Fig. 8(A). The sign of $\gamma^w_{\text{iso}}(\omega)$ is positive in CH$_3$CN but negative in CHCl$_3$ in the low frequency region. The sign of the isotropic solvation polarization spectrum, $\gamma^w_{\text{iso}}(\omega)$, is directly related to the direction of changes in the solvent local density around 153. In general, when the density of a liquid increases, the index of refraction increases. Upon electronic excitation of C153, C153 has a large increase in its dipole moment leading to pulling the solvent molecules inward. On the other hand, the increase in its size (volume) leading to pushing the solvent molecules outward. Interestingly, the permanent dipole moment of CH$_3$CN is orthogonal to its most polarizable axis. Upon the excitation of C153, the dipole–dipole interaction between the excited C153 and solvent molecules was turned on. In CH$_3$CN, librational and translational motions were induced resulting in an increase in the solvent local density without a significant change in relative orientation. However, in CHCl$_3$, the solvent reorganization occurred through the orientational motion of CHCl$_3$ molecules. Therefore, the relative orientations of two solvent molecules are expected to be different around the ground state C153 and excited C153.

### 4.2 Anisotropic solvation response

The anisotropic solvation PORS signal, $S'_{\text{aniso}}(t; T_{eq})$, measures the difference of two polarizability tensor elements that are parallel and perpendicular to the solute transition dipole, respectively. The anisotropic solvation PORS signal is defined with respect to the beam polarization of the resonant pump ($E_{RP}$) which is parallel to the solute transition dipole,

$$ S'_{\text{aniso}}(t; T_{eq}) = \frac{S'_{zzzz}(t; T_{eq}) - S'_{yyzz}(t; T_{eq})}{2} \quad (11) $$

The anisotropic solvation PORS signal contains information on the anisotropic solvent relaxation resulting from the anisotropic (asymmetric) translational motion (i.e. anisotropic contraction and expansion of the solvent cage) and/or the orientational motion of the solvent molecules around the solute. In that case, the anisotropic translational relaxation in solvation is less important, the relative orientation of the solvent molecules with respect to the solute transition dipole is not changed for $S'_{\text{aniso}}(t; T_{eq}) > 0$ while the relative orientation of the solvent molecules around the solute is significantly changed for $S'_{\text{aniso}}(t; T_{eq}) < 0$. When the orientational relaxations of the solvent molecules are negligible, the anisotropic contraction of the solvent cage along the solute transition dipole gives $S'_{\text{aniso}}(t; T_{eq}) > 0$ and the anisotropic expansion of the solvent cage along the solute transition dipole gives $S'_{\text{aniso}}(t; T_{eq}) < 0$. Anisotropic solvation polarizability spectra, $\gamma^w_{\text{aniso}}(\omega)$, are shown in Fig. 8(B). The sign of the anisotropic polarization spectra, $\gamma^w_{\text{aniso}}(\omega)$, is positive in CH$_3$CN and is negative in CHCl$_3$. As explained in the previous section, it can be interpreted that the solvent cage contracts anisotropically along the solute transition dipole in CH$_3$CN and the relative orientation of CH$_3$CN is not changed. In CHCl$_3$, the solvent cage expands anisotropically and the solvent molecules are also reoriented with respect to the solute transition dipole.

### 4.3 Solvent-dependent PORS signals

In RP-PORS experiments performed with two different solvents in terms of their dipole moments and polarizabilities, the PORS signals are highly solvent-dependent as shown in Fig. 8. The dynamics at short times are separated by removing the longer time decay component. As mentioned above, the dynamics at short times may contain the intramolecular vibrational relaxation (IVR) of C153. If the IVR of C153 was significantly large, the RP-PORS spectra obtained from different solvents shouldn’t depend upon the solvent. However, the results shown in Fig. 8 are completely solvent-dependent suggesting that there is no clear indication of contribution of the IVR of C153 to the RP-PORS signal. Therefore, it can be reasonably assumed that the contribution of the IVR of C153 to the RP-PORS signal is negligible in the present experiments. It may suggest that the IVR is much faster than the solvent relaxation around C153 as was previously observed in fluorescence Stokes shift measurements. The solvation polarizability spectra are solvent-specific. The intramolecular vibrational modes of CHCl$_3$ (CCl$_3$ deformation modes; 260 cm$^{-1}$ and 363 cm$^{-1}$) are observed in Fig. 8. It indicates that these intramolecular...
the equilibrium intermolecular modes of CH$_3$CN that are driven in solvation while other solvents. The present results show that there are no significant changes in their frequencies. The isotropic intramolecular mode (363 cm$^{-1}$) of CHCl$_3$ oscillates with larger amplitude around the excited state of C153. On the other hand, the anisotropic intramolecular modes of CHCl$_3$ (260 cm$^{-1}$) oscillate in a different oriented configuration around the excited state of C153.

4.4 Isotropic and anisotropic responses of solvation and neat CH$_3$CN

The solvation polarizability spectra ($\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$) are shown in Fig. 9(A) representing the difference in the structural fluctuation of CH$_3$CN molecules around C153 in $S_1$ and $S_0$. Fig. 9(B) displays the polarizability spectra ($\chi'(\omega)$) of neat CH$_3$CN. $\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$ represents the solvent intermolecular modes of CH$_3$CN that are driven in solvation while $\chi'(\omega)$ represents the equilibrium intermolecular modes of CH$_3$CN that are present in neat CH$_3$CN.

In Fig. 9, $\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$ has a few noticeable features when compared with $\chi'(\omega)$. First, the isotropic and anisotropic solvation polarizability spectra ($\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$) of CH$_3$CN are very similar in amplitude and shape while the anisotropic polarizability spectrum ($\chi^{\text{aniso}}(\omega)$) is much larger than the isotropic polarizability spectrum ($\chi^{\text{iso}}(\omega)$) in neat CH$_3$CN. The anisotropic molecular motions are predominant in neat solvent because the orientational and anisotropic translational motions are more likely than isotropic translational motion. However, the isotropic and anisotropic motions of CH$_3$CN are comparably driven in the solvation process of C153 and their frequency distributions are very similar. Second, $\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$ is broader and contains higher-frequency intermolecular modes that are not present in $\chi'(\omega)$. It indicates that the higher frequency modes of CH$_3$CN molecules are driven in the solvation process of C153 when compared with the molecular modes in bulk (i.e. neat CH$_3$CN). Third, the low frequency peak near 4 cm$^{-1}$, which is due to diffusive reorientation of CH$_3$CN, is not observed in $\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$. It reflects that the solvation responses of CH$_3$CN are inertial and fast. Fourth, the intramolecular vibrational mode (methyl-cyano bending, 380 cm$^{-1}$) of CH$_3$CN is not observed in $\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$ suggesting that the methyl-cyano bending mode is not significantly influenced by the electronic excitation of C153 in terms of its amplitude or the relative orientation of CH$_3$CN.

In summary, the solvation polarizability spectra ($\chi^{\text{solv}}(\omega)$) of CH$_3$CN are quite different in many aspects and cannot be simply approximated from $\chi'(\omega)$ which is the polarizability spectrum representing the equilibrium solvent modes of CH$_3$CN molecules in bulk. The features discussed in this section are quite interesting as an example of the solvation response of a small and highly polar molecule like CH$_3$CN. However, some of the features may be generally applicable to and true for other solvents.

5. Concluding remarks

Resonant-pump polarizability response spectroscopy (RP-PORS) was developed and used to measure directly the solvent structural relaxation in solvation. RP-PORS allows direct measurements of isotropic and anisotropic solvation polarizability spectra of CH$_3$CN and CHCl$_3$ in the solvation process of C153. The solvent molecular motions driven in solvation are solvent-specific and are different from the equilibrium solvent modes that are present in neat solvent.

Direct measurements of the solvent relaxation dynamics in solvation are shown to have advantages over the previously performed experiments (TRFSS and PEPS) where the solvation dynamics have been investigated by probing the solute. First, the timescale of the solvation is obtained, which is really the only information extracted from TRFSS and PEPS measurements. Second, polarization-controlled measurements enable us to separate the solvent relaxation around the solute into the isotropic and anisotropic solvent reorganization. The isotropic solvation polarizability spectra give information on the isotropic changes in the solvent local density around the solute arising from the isotropic translational relaxation of the solvent molecules. The anisotropic polarizability spectra allow estimating the solvent structural changes caused by anisotropic translational and orientational motions of the solvent molecules. Third, one can even observe the solvent intramolecular vibrational modes driven in solvation. Both isotropic and anisotropic polarizability spectra allow estimation of the solvent structural changes.
around the solute. RP-PORS gives molecular level understandings of the solvent relaxation dynamics in solvation.

In RP-PORS, the dispersive and absorptive parts of the third-order signal can be separately measured. The dispersive part is sensitive to molecular dynamics associated with a change in the index of refraction while the absorptive part is sensitive to changes in absorption, which are associated with the solute. Therefore, the dynamics of the excited state solute can also be studied by selectively measuring the absorptive part of the signal. In addition, RP-PORS can be applied to study the non-fluorescent solute-solvent systems where TRFSS cannot be used.

Here, we measured the overall solvation polarizability spectra during the solvation by performing the PORS at \( T_{\text{rel}} \) after the solvation is complete. However, it should be more interesting to measure the instantaneous solvation polarizability spectra in the solvation process. This can be achieved by measuring the PORS signal as a function of waiting time (\( T \)) which will be reported elsewhere in the future.
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